

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/849,925	05/04/2001	Jean-Marc Villaret	10005203-1	8953	
7590 03/02/2005 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			EXAMINER		
			MCCLELLAN, JAMES S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
Λ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/849,925	VILLARET ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James S McClellan	3627			
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 23-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 23-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/29/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/849,925 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/29/04 has been entered.

Amendment

2. Applicant's submittal of an amendment was entered on 11/29/04, wherein:

claims 1, 3-6, and 23-29 are pending;

claims 7-14 and 16-29 have been canceled;

claims 1 and 3-5have been amended; and

claims 23-29 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3627

4. Claims 1, 4-6, and 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al in view of White and Cook et al.

Jenkins et al. disclose a system a system and method for providing products via an EFTPOS terminal arrangement (see col. 11, lines 18-29) coupled to a plurality of vendor DPSs (150) hosting both payment and non-payment application (see, for example, Fig. 4 and col. 11, lines 18-29) and coupled to a financial institution DPS hosting a second application (see col. 11, lines 18-29, comprising: accessing the first application at the first vendor's DPS via the non-payment application (see col. 6, lines 24-33); selecting a product via the non-payment application (see col. 6, lines 44-48); transmitting a set of customer-specific financial account data to the second application on the financial institution DPS (see col. 11, lines 18-29); and receiving a transaction confirmation status (see col. 6, line 66 through col. 7, line 4). Jenkins et al. further disclose the step of displaying an electronic message received from one of the vendor DPSs (see col. 6, lines 24-30). Jenkins et al. further disclose a product database that includes a data set identifying a payment amount (see for example, col. 8, lines 27-41) and the step of transmitting the data set to a second vendor DPS in response to receiving transaction confirmation.

Jenkins et al. discloses al of the limitations of the claims except for the steps of providing advertisements form the vendor or other real-time messages. Additionally, Jenkins et al. fails to disclose the use of a proxy server securing the identity of a user.

White et al. discloses a transaction terminal that includes an ad area (78) for displaying advertisement content that may be static, dynamic, and/or interactive (col. 8, lines 18-28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jenkins et al. with advertisements taught by White et al., because

Art Unit: 3627

advertisements generate potential consumer interest in products or services, wherein increasing the likelihood of a consumer purchasing the advertised product or service.

Cook et al. teaches the use of a proxy server securing the identity of the user (see paragraph bridging columns 1-2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jenkins et al. with a proxy security system as taught by Cook et al., because secure transactions are desired by consumers, wherein consumers are less likely to suffer identity theft when security features are added an electronic transaction.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al. in view of White and Cook as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bertina et al.

Jenkins et al. in combination with White and Cook disclose all of the limitations of the claims except fro the step of wirelessly communicating with the EFTPOS terminal.

Bertina et al. disclose a system for wirelessly communicating with an EFTPOS terminal (see col. 2, lines 5-18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jenkins et al. with the teachings of Bertina et al., because wireless communication is more convenient.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed November 29, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3627

All arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection required by Applicant's

amendment.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (Official communications) or

(703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan

Primary Examiner

A.U. 3627

jsm

2/21/05